

# Optimization Qualifying Exam

## Solution

September 2007

### 1. PRIMAL PROBLEM.

The primal problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_j \\ &\text{subject to} && v_j \geq f_j, && j \geq 0, \\ &&& v_j \geq \alpha (pv_{j+1} + qv_{j-1}), && j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

### 2. DUAL PROBLEM.

The associated dual problem is

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize} && \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j y_j \\ &\text{subject to} && y_0 - \alpha q z_1 = 1, \\ &&& y_1 + z_1 - \alpha q z_2 = 1, \\ &&& y_j - \alpha p z_{j-1} + z_j - \alpha q z_{j+1} = 1, && j \geq 2, \\ &&& y_j \geq 0, && j \geq 0, \\ &&& z_j \geq 0, && j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. STATEMENT OF CLAIM.

Let  $v_j$  denote the optimal primal solution and  $y_j$  and  $z_j$  the optimal dual solution (i.e., we are dropping the usual “stars” that denote optimality). Suppose, as claimed, that there exists a  $j^*$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= f_0, \\ v_j &= \alpha(pv_{j+1} + qv_{j-1}) > f_j, && \text{for } 0 < j < j^*, \\ v_j &= f_j > \alpha(pv_{j+1} + qv_{j-1}), && \text{for } j^* \leq j. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. INVOKE COMPLEMENTARITY.

Complementarity implies that

$$z_j = 0, \quad j \geq j^*, \quad (1)$$

$$y_j = 0, \quad 0 < j < j^*. \quad (2)$$

Dual feasibility with (1) implies

$$y_{j^*} - \alpha p z_{j^*-1} = 1,$$

$$y_j = 1, \quad j > j^*.$$

Dual feasibility with (2) implies

$$z_1 - \alpha q z_2 = 1,$$

$$-\alpha p z_{j-1} + z_j - \alpha q z_{j+1} = 1, \quad 1 < j < j^*.$$

#### 5. SECOND ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS.

Hence, the problem of solving the equalities has been reduced to a pair of second order difference equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The first difference equation is

$$v_j - \alpha(pv_{j+1} + qv_{j-1}) = 0, \quad 0 < j < j^*, \quad (3)$$

$$v_0 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$v_{j^*} = f_{j^*} \quad (5)$$

and the second one is

$$z_j - \alpha(pz_{j-1} + qz_{j+1}) = 1, \quad 0 < j < j^*, \quad (6)$$

$$z_0 = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$z_{j^*} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Note that in (4) we used the fact that  $f_0 = 0$  and in (6) we have added a new variable,  $z_0$ , which is just fixed to zero (by (7)). In this way we consolidate the difference equation for  $z_j$  to a more elegant form.

#### 6. EXPLICIT SOLUTION OF DIFFERENCE EQUATION FOR $v_j$ .

First, we solve the equation for  $v_j$ . To this end, suppose that

$$v_j = \xi^j$$

for some positive real number  $\xi$ . Substituting into the difference equation, we get

$$\xi^j - \alpha(p\xi^{j+1} + q\xi^{j-1}) = 0.$$

Dividing by  $\xi^{j-1}$ , we get a quadratic equation

$$-\alpha p \xi^2 + \xi - \alpha q = 0.$$

The two roots of this equation are

$$\xi_{\pm} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{-2\alpha p}.$$

The general solution to the difference equation is therefore

$$v_j = c_+ \xi_+^j + c_- \xi_-^j.$$

From the first boundary condition (4), we get that  $c_- = -c_+$ . This relation together with the second boundary condition (5) gives

$$c_+ = \frac{f_{j^*}}{\xi_+^{j^*} - \xi_-^{j^*}}.$$

Hence,

$$v_j = f_{j^*} \frac{\xi_+^j - \xi_-^j}{\xi_+^{j^*} - \xi_-^{j^*}}, \quad 0 < j < j^*. \quad (9)$$

## 7. EXPLICIT SOLUTION OF DIFFERENCE EQUATION FOR $z_j$ .

Now, let's solve for  $z_j$ . We need a particular solution to the equation and the general solution to the associated homogeneous equation. For a particular solution, we try the simplest thing

$$z_j \equiv c.$$

Substituting into the difference equation, we discover that  $c = 1/(1 - \alpha)$ . The associated homogeneous equation is exactly the same as the equation for  $v_j$  except with  $p$  and  $q$  interchanged. Hence the general solution, which is the sum of the particular and the homogeneous, is given by

$$z_j = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} + c_+ \zeta_+^j + c_- \zeta_-^j$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_+ &= 1/\xi_- = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{-2\alpha q}, \\ \zeta_- &= 1/\xi_+ = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{-2\alpha q}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the boundary conditions to eliminate the two undetermined constants, we get

$$z_j = \left( 1 - \frac{\zeta_-^{j^*} - 1}{\zeta_-^{j^*} - \zeta_+^{j^*}} \zeta_+^j - \frac{\zeta_+^{j^*} - 1}{\zeta_+^{j^*} - \zeta_-^{j^*}} \zeta_-^j \right) / (1 - \alpha), \quad 0 < j < j^*.$$

## 8. SOLUTION TO EQUALITIES.

To summarize, we have

$$v_j = \begin{cases} 0 & j = 0 \\ f_{j^*} \frac{\xi_+^j - \xi_-^j}{\xi_+^{j^*} - \xi_-^{j^*}}, & 0 < j < j^* \\ f_j & j^* \leq j \end{cases}$$

$$z_j = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_-^{j^*} - 1}{\zeta_-^{j^*} - \zeta_+^{j^*}} \zeta_+^j - \frac{\zeta_+^{j^*} - 1}{\zeta_+^{j^*} - \zeta_-^{j^*}} \zeta_-^j\right) / (1 - \alpha) & 0 < j < j^* \\ 0 & j^* \leq j \end{cases}$$

$$y_j = \begin{cases} 1 + \alpha q z_1 & j = 0 \\ 0 & 0 < j < j^* \\ 1 + \alpha p z_{j^* - 1} & j = j^* \\ 1 & j^* < j \end{cases}$$

## 9. CHECK THE INEQUALITIES.

All that remains is to show that the various inequalities are satisfied:

$$y_j \geq 0, \quad j \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

$$z_j \geq 0, \quad j \geq 1, \quad (11)$$

$$v_j \geq f_j, \quad j \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

$$v_j \geq \alpha(pv_{j+1} + qv_{j-1}), \quad j \geq 1. \quad (13)$$

**9.1. Inequalities (11).** Inequalities (11) follow trivially for  $j \geq j^*$  from the formula given above for  $z_j$ . To check them for  $j < j^*$ , we do a proof by contradiction. So, suppose that  $z_j < 0$  for some  $0 < j < j^*$ . Then there exists a  $k$  at which  $z_k$  is negative and a local minimum:

$$z_k < z_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad z_k < z_{k+1}.$$

But, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} z_k &= 1 + \alpha(pz_{k-1} + qz_{k+1}) \\ &> 1 + \alpha(pz_k + qz_k) \\ &= 1 + \alpha z_k. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we get  $z_k > 1/(1 - \alpha) > 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $z_k$  is negative. Hence, inequalities (11) hold for all  $j$ . (This is a simple example of a *minimum principle* as one encounters in harmonic analysis.)

**9.2. Inequalities (10).** These follow trivially from inequalities (11) and the formula for  $y_j$ .

9.3. **Inequalities (13).** These hold trivially for  $j < j^*$ . They also hold trivially for  $j > j^*$  **provided we assume that**  $\alpha p \leq 1/2$  and  $\alpha q \leq 1/2$ . We'll come back to the inequality (13) for  $j = j^*$  after we consider inequalities (12).

9.4. **Inequalities (12).** For  $j \geq j^*$ , these are trivial. Furthermore, it follows immediately from (9) that  $v_j \geq 0$  for all  $j$ . Hence, we just need to check that  $v_j \geq x_j - S$  for  $j < j^*$ . In order to have these inequalities hold for  $j < j^*$ , **we need to pick**

$$j^* \in K := \left\{ k : f_k \frac{\xi_+^{k-1} - \xi_-^{k-1}}{\xi_+^k - \xi_-^k} > f_{k-1} \right\}.$$

Of course, we need to assume that  $K$  is nonempty. Clearly no  $k$  for which  $x_k < S$  can belong to the set (because both  $f_k$  and  $f_{k-1}$  vanish). For convenience, then, we assume that  $S \in E$ —that is,  $S = j_S \Delta x$  for some  $j_S$ . In that case, **we assume that**  $k = j_S + 1$  **belongs to the set:**

$$f_{j_S+1} \frac{\xi_+^{j_S} - \xi_-^{j_S}}{\xi_+^{j_S+1} - \xi_-^{j_S+1}} > f_{j_S}.$$

Let  $h_j = x_j - S$ . With such a choice and the assumption that  $j^* \in K$ , we have that  $v_{j^*} = h_{j^*}$  and  $v_{j^*-1} > h_{j^*-1}$ . Suppose that  $v_{j'} < h_{j'}$  for some  $j' < j^*$ . Then the sequence  $u_j := v_j - h_j$  must have a local maximum at some point, say  $k$ , strictly between  $j'$  and  $j^*$ . That is,  $u_k > u_{k-1}$  and  $u_k > u_{k+1}$ . But, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= v_k - h_k \\ &= \alpha(pv_{k+1} + qv_{k-1}) - \frac{1}{2}(h_{k+1} + h_{k-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(v_{k+1} + v_{k-1}) - \frac{1}{2}(h_{k+1} + h_{k-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(u_{k+1} + u_{k-1}) \\ &< u_k. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly this is impossible. Hence,  $u_j$  can't have a local maximum and therefore  $v_j$  cannot dip below  $h_j$ .

9.5. **Inequality (13) with  $j = j^*$ .** Finally, to get inequality (13) for  $j = j^*$ , we need to assume that  $j^* + 1 \notin K$ . That is,

$$f_{j^*+1} \frac{\xi_+^{j^*} - \xi_-^{j^*}}{\xi_+^{j^*+1} - \xi_-^{j^*+1}} \leq f_{j^*}. \quad (14)$$

To see why, let  $w_j$  denote the solution to the difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} w_j - \alpha(pw_{j+1} + qw_{j-1}) &= 0, & 0 < j, \\ w_0 &= 0, \\ w_{j^*} &= f_{j^*}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the same as (6)–(8) but extended to all  $j$ . Clearly we have  $v_{j^*} = w_{j^*}$  and  $v_{j^*-1} = w_{j^*-1}$ . Hence, (13) at  $j^*$  will hold if and only if  $v_{j^*+1} \leq w_{j^*+1}$ :

$$f_{j^*+1} = v_{j^*+1} \leq w_{j^*+1} = f_{j^*} \frac{\xi_+^{j^*+1} - \xi_-^{j^*+1}}{\xi_+^{j^*} - \xi_-^{j^*}}$$

The resulting inequality is clearly equivalent to (14).

## 10. SUMMARY

The claimed result holds provided we make the extra assumptions that

- (1)  $\alpha p \leq 1/2$  and  $\alpha q \leq 1/2$ ,
- (2)  $S = j_S \Delta x$  for some integer  $j_S$ , and
- (3)  $f_{j_S+1} (\xi_+^{j_S} - \xi_-^{j_S}) / (\xi_+^{j_S+1} - \xi_-^{j_S+1}) > f_{j_S}$ .

With these assumptions,  $j^*$  must be chosen as

$$j^* := \max \left\{ k : f_k \frac{\xi_+^{k-1} - \xi_-^{k-1}}{\xi_+^k - \xi_-^k} > f_{k-1} \right\}.$$