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Abstract. Let \( x \) and \( y \) be real vectors of lengths \( m \) and \( n \) respectively, each with increasing elements. Define \( s = \{s_{ij}\} \) where \( s_{ij} = x_i + y_j \) (\( s \) is the “outer sum” of \( x \) and \( y \); we write \( s = x \circ y \)). Suppose \( x \) and \( y \) are in general position, so that the \( m \times n \) numbers \( \{s_{ij}\} \) are all different. Let \( t_{ij} \) be the rank of \( s_{ij} \) in this set of numbers. Then the array \( t = \{t_{ij}\} \) is an \( m \times n \) Young tableau, with entries \( (1, 2, \ldots, mn) \), satisfying \( t_{ij} < t_{ik} \) whenever \( j < k \) and \( t_{ij} < t_{kj} \) whenever \( i < k \). We say that this tableau represents the outer sum. Can all Young tableaux be generated in this way? We will prove that all \( 2 \times n \) tableaux can represent an outer sum, and show that some larger tableaux cannot. We will describe our efforts to characterize these tableaux.

1. Introduction

During consideration of a new algorithm for deconvolution [1], one of us encountered the question posed in the title. He did some hand calculations (many of which were later found to be in error), and submitted the results to the OEIS ([2], sequence A211400). The second author saw that linear programming (LP) could provide definitive answers in many small cases of the problem, and obtained results for the cases \((m, n) = (2, 3), (2, 4), \ldots (2, 9), (3, 3), \ldots (3, 7), (4, 4)\). In this paper, we prove that for all \( n \geq 1 \), every \( 2 \times n \) Young tableau can represent an outer sum, and show that for \( m, n \geq 3 \), this is not the case.

Remark 1. This problem is exactly equivalent to one expressed in terms of the outer product of two positive ordered vectors, using products instead of sums, simply by taking logs. We could also think in terms of “outer difference” and “outer division”; these are all equivalent problems.

Remark 2. It is completely trivial that the (unique) \( 1 \times n \) tableau does represent (and in fact equals) the outer sum \( x \circ y \) where \( x = (0) \) and \( y = (1, 2, \ldots, n) \).

Remark 3. For any vector \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \) and real \( k \) we define \( x + k \) to be the vector \( (x_1 + k, \ldots, x_m + k) \). Then for any \( k \) and \( l \), \( x \circ y \) and \( (x + k) \circ (y + l) \) have the same tableau representation.

An Example. The \( 3 \times 3 \) tableau

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 6 \\
3 & 5 & 7 \\
4 & 8 & 9
\end{bmatrix}
\]
cannot represent an outer sum. Let \( x = (a, b, c) \), and let \( y = (x, y, z) \). Then from 2 < 3 we have
\[
a + y < b + x,
\]
and from 7 < 8 we have
\[
b + z < c + y;
\]
adding these inequalities gives
\[
a + y + b + z < b + x + c + y
\]
i.e. \( a + z < c + x \) which contradicts the entries 6, 4 in the tableau. This gives us a first taboo configuration:
\[
T_3: \quad t_{12} < t_{21} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{23} < t_{32} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{31} < t_{13}
\]
There are 42 Young tableaux of size 3 \( \times \) 3; just 3 of these satisfy this taboo condition, and another 3 the same with all inequalities reversed, leaving 36 that can represent an outer sum. This result and several higher-order cases are presented in OEIS [2], sequence A211400.

**Remark 4.** Among the \( m \times n \) tableaux with \( m, n \geq 3 \) are some whose leading 3 \( \times \) 3 subtableaux are copies of the 3 \( \times \) 3 tableau above. These cannot represent an outer sum.

**Remark 5.** Suppose \( A \) is an \( m \times n \) tableau. In the following, we will consider various subtableaux of \( A \). For most purposes, we need not distinguish between an \( a \times b \) submatrix \( B \) of \( A \), which is the usual concept, simply dropping \( m - a \) rows and \( n - b \) columns of \( A \), and the corresponding subtableau \( C \), which is obtained from \( B \) by replacing its elements by their ranks (within the set of elements of \( B \)). Thus \( C \) will be a proper \( a \times b \) Young tableau. For example, the \((2,3) \times (1,3)\) submatrix of the 3 \( \times \) 3 tableau above is the 2 \( \times \) 2 matrix with rows (3, 7) and (4, 9), while the corresponding 2 \( \times \) 2 subtableau has rows (1, 3) and (2, 4). We need not distinguish between these, because all relevant information is carried by the ordering of their elements, without regard to their numerical values. We will never need to perform any arithmetic operations on these elements, apart from considering their ordering.

2. The 2 \( \times \) n case.

**Theorem.** For all \( n \geq 1 \), each of the 2 \( \times \) n Young tableaux can represent an outer sum.

**Proof.** The number of distinct 2 \( \times \) n Young tableaux is the Catalan number
\[
C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}.
\]
Very many enumerative problems have these numbers as their solution (See A000108 in the OEIS) and we discuss just one of these, namely the number of paths of 2\( n \) steps in the positive quadrant, starting from the origin (0, 0) and ending at (\( n, n \)), where each step is either eastwards one unit, or northwards one unit, and where the whole path stays below (or on) the line \( y = x \). We call these “lower paths”. The top row of the Young tableau tells when the eastwards steps occur, and the bottom row tells when the northwards steps occur. We will show that each such
path corresponds to an outer sum. The generating function of the Catalan sequence is \( C(x) = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x})/2x = 1 + x + 2x^2 + 5x^3 + 14x^4 + 42x^5 + \ldots \).

For \( n = 1 \), the tableau represents \((0,1) \circ (0)\). For \( n \geq 2 \) we can describe a general lower path \( p \) (of length \( 2n \)) as the concatenation \((p_1, p_2)\) of two lower paths, of lengths \( 2a \) and \( 2b \) respectively, where \( a \geq 1 \) and \( b \geq 0 \), and where the path \( p_1 \) stays strictly below the line \( y = x \) except at its endpoints. We call \( p_1 \) a sub-lower path. The number of such paths of length \( 2a \) is the coefficient of \( x^a \) in \( xC(x) \), since except for the first and last steps, the path stays below or on the line \( y = x - 1 \). The total number of lower paths of length \( 2n \) is thus the coefficient of \( x^n \) in \( 1 + xC(x) \cdot C(x) \) which is just \( C(x) \). (This argument is one way of deriving \( C(x) \).)

The corresponding tableaux have the property that the first time both partial rows have equal length (apart from the initial state, where both have length zero) is when both rows have length \( a \). This can occur with \( a = 1 \), in which case the first column of the tableau is \((1,2)\). If \( a \geq 2 \) the top row of the tableau starts \((1,2,\ldots)\), the bottom row has \((2a - 1, 2a)\) in the \((a - 1)\)-th and \(a\)-th positions, and the first \( a \) columns of \( T \) contain a permutation of the integers \( (1,2,\ldots, 2a) \).

We proceed by induction. Suppose we have shown that for \( n \leq n_0 \), all \( 2 \times n \) Young tableaux, or the associated lower paths, can represent an outer sum. We take \( x = (0,1) \). Consider a \( 2 \times n \) tableau \( T \) with \( n = n_0 + 1 \), and its component paths \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) as above. Except when \( b = 0 \), each of \( a \) and \( b \) is positive and less than or equal to \( n_0 \), so by the inductive hypothesis each component path can represent an outer sum, \( x \circ y \) with \( y = (y_1,\ldots, y_a) \) and \( x \circ z \) with \( z = (z_1,\ldots, z_b) \) say. If \( a < n \), we have \( t_{1,(a+1)} = 2a + 1 \), so we may take \( k = 2 + y_a \), and \( T \) represents the outer sum \( x \circ (y, z + k) \). The only case needing proof is when \( a = n, b = 0 \). In this case the tableau \( T \) must be of the form

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & \cdots & \cdots & f \\
g & \cdots & 2n - 1 & 2n
\end{bmatrix}
\]

with \( n \leq f \leq 2n - 2 \) and \( 3 \leq g \leq n + 1 \). Consider the \( 2 \times (n - 1) \) matrix

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & \cdots & f \\
g & \cdots & 2n - 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Since \( T \) corresponds to a sub-lower path, the elements of this matrix have the same order relations as a Young tableau \( T^* \) of dimension \( 2 \times (n - 1) \). The \((i,j)\) entry \( t^*_{ij} \) of \( T^* \) is just \( M_{ij} - 1 \). \( T^* \) corresponds to a lower path of length \( 2(n - 1) \) and so to an outer sum \( x \circ y^* \) with \( y^* = (y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_n) \) say. We have only to show that we can choose \( y_1 \) to make the tableau \( T \) represent the outer sum \( x \circ (y_1, y^*) \). For this, we need \( y_1 < y_2 \), rank\((1 + y_1) = g \). Since in the outer sum \( x \circ y^* \), rank\((1 + y_2) = g - 1 \), we must have that \( y_{g-2} < 1 + y_2 \). So we can fit \( 1 + y_1 \) between \( y_{g-2} \) and \( 1 + y_2 \), so that \( y_1 < y_2 \), and we are done. □
3. Linear Programming

To use linear programming (LP) to study the $m \times n$ tableaux, we solve the following problem:

\[
\text{maximize} \quad \varepsilon \\
\text{subject to} \quad y_{i(k)} + x_{j(k)} + \varepsilon \leq y_{i(k+1)} + x_{j(k+1)}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, mn - 1, \\
y_k + \varepsilon \leq y_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, m - 1, \\
x_k + \varepsilon \leq x_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \\
0 \leq y_k \leq 1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, m, \\
0 \leq x_k \leq 1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Here, $i(k)$ and $j(k)$ denote the row and column of the $k$-th ranking entry in the tableau. If the optimal value of $\varepsilon$ is positive, then a outer sum representation exists for that tableau. Otherwise not.

**The 3×4 case.** One of us has used LP to study the 462 Young tableaux of size 3×4, finding 295 that can represent an outer sum, leaving 167 that cannot. Study of these 167 showed that 159 of them satisfied the above 3×3 taboo condition (or its reverse, reversing all inequalities), applied to each of four submatrices, each omitting one column of the 3×4 tableau, leaving 8 tableaux that do not. Examination of these suggested four new taboo configurations, namely

\[
T_{41}: \quad t_{12} < t_{21} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{24} < t_{33} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{23} < t_{14} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{31} < t_{22}
\]

\[
T_{42}: \quad t_{13} < t_{21} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{24} < t_{32} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{22} < t_{14} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{31} < t_{23}
\]

and the same with all inequalities reversed.

For a 4×3 tableau there are similar transposed taboo conditions. See the Figure for pictures of these taboo configurations. The values of $n$ in the Figure give the number of 3×4 tableaux that satisfy each taboo configuration, or its reversal.

**The 4×4 case.** Examination of the 4×4 tableaux gave the following results.

- Total number of tableaux: 24024
- Representing outer sums: 6660
- Not representing: 17364
- Taboo $T_3$: 16432
- Taboo $T_{41}$ or $T_{42}$, but not $T_3$: 932

We do not need any new taboo configurations.

**The 3×5 case.** Examination of the 3×5 tableaux gave the following results.
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Total number of tableaux  6006
Representing outer sums  2583
Not representing  3423
Taboo $T_3$  3233
Not representing, but not $T_3$  190
$T_{41}$, not $T_3$ or $T_{42}$  93
$T_{42}$, not $T_3$ or $T_{41}$  93
Both $T_{41}$ and $T_{42}$, not $T_3$  4

Again, no new taboo configurations are needed for this $3 \times 5$ case. At this point we hoped that we had found a complete set of taboo configurations. But when we studied the $3 \times 6$ cases, we found new taboos.

The $3 \times 6$ case. Examination of the $3 \times 6$ tableaux gave the following results.

Total number of tableaux  87516
Representing an outer sum  23580
Not representing  63936
Taboo $T_3$  60784
Not Taboo $T_3$  3152
Taboo $T_{41}$ and/or $T_{42}$, not $T_3$  3112
Not $T_3$ or $T_{41}$ or $T_{42}$  40

These last 40 tableaux were found to require 8 new taboo configurations, with their reversals, as shown in the Figure. The frequencies add to 48, not 40, since four tableaux satisfy both $T_{61}$ and $T_{63}$ (or their reversals), while four more satisfy both $T_{62}$ and $T_{64}$.

This result dashed our hopes. It seems clear that more taboo configurations will be needed for the $3 \times 8$ case (perhaps not for the $3 \times 7$ case), and we can guess at some of these, by analogy with $T_{61}$ and $T_{67}$. But even if we could identify a complete description of all the taboo conditions, we would still have a difficult enumerative problem: to count the Young tableaux that avoid all of these.

4. Final Comment

The problem remains “hard”, as the entry A211400 in the OEIS says. We hope that someone with more insight than us will make further progress on this problem. Complete lists of the “solved” configurations in the $3 \times 5$ and $3 \times 6$ cases are available at [3] and [4].
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Figure 1. Eleven taboo configurations. In each panel of the Figure, an arrow from node $a$ to node $b$ denotes the inequality $t_a < t_b$. Any tableau with a sub-tableau satisfying all such inequalities cannot represent an outer sum. In each panel, $n$ is the number of tableaux (of minimal size) that satisfy the inequalities shown (or the same with all inequalities reversed).